# Nutrient Management in Neuse and Tar-Pamlico Watersheds

APNEP Symposium Nov. 1, 2017

> Rich Gannon Nonpoint Source Planning NC Division of Water Resources



## Common Features of Neuse & Tar Nutrient Strategies

- Collaborative development
- Watershed-specific
  - Reduction goals per modeled waterbody needs
  - Major sources regulated
- Minimize inequities
  - "Fair, reasonable, proportionate" reductions
    All sources same relative reductions vs. baseline
  - •Options, offsets, trading cost-effectiveness

•Compliance horizons – 5 to 8 years



#### Neuse & Tar-Pamlico Reduction Goals



# Sources Regulated under Nutrient Strategies

## Neuse, Tar rules:

Wastewater
Agriculture
New Development Stormwater –

15 Neuse, 11 Tar local gov'ts

Riparian Buffer Protection

## Falls rules add:

- + All muni's (+5) counties (+3)
- + Existing Development Stormwater
- + State/Fed Stormwater

## Neuse - Estimated Cropland N Loss Reductions







Department of Environmental Quality

## Tar-Pamlico: Phosphorus Loss Tracking

Parameter	Units	Baseline 1991	CY2016	Percent '91-'16 change	CY2015 P Loss Risk +/-
Agricultural land	Acres	807,026	593,530	-26%	-
Cropland conversion (to grass & trees)	Acres	660	47,134	7042%	-
CRP / WRP (cumulative)	Acres	19,241	41,833	117%	-
Conservation tillage	Acres	41,415	62,151	50%	-
Vegetated buffers (cumulative)	Acres	50,836	218,440	330%	-
Water control structures (cumulative)	Acres affected	52,984	92,208	74%	-
Scavenger crop	Acres	13,272	86,109	549%	-
Animal waste P	lb P/ yr	13,597,734	14,805,403	9%	+
Soil test P median	P index	83	84	1%	+

Department of Environmental Quality

#### Neuse Wastewater Association Compliance





#### Neuse & Pamlico Estuaries Use Support Status, 2010



#### Flow-Normalized Nitrogen Loads (% vs. 1991-1995) Neuse River at Fort Barnwell







#### 2011-2015 TN % Difference vs. Baseline Neuse River and Tributaries (AquAeTer, 2016)



#### Flow-Normalized Total Phosphorus Load (% vs. 1991-95) Tar River near Grimesland







#### 2011-2015 TP % Difference vs. Baseline Neuse River and Tributaries (AquAeTer. 2016)



#### Changes in Basin Poultry Inventories

	Poultry Inventory				Percent Inventory Change (△ %)		
River Basin	1992	2000	2006	2014 <sup>1</sup>	1992-2014	2000-2014	2006-2014
Yadkin-PeeDee	52,364,000	64,744,000	73,372,000	60,793,600	16	-6	-17
Cape Fear	52,975,000	54,445,000	56,208,000	57,906,600	9	6	3
Catawba	7,458,000	8,028,000	8,040,000	14,283,800	92	78	78
Lumber	2,604,000	4,540,000	6,628,000	12,829,700	393	183	94
Neuse	10,146,400	11,485,000	11,974,700	9,631,500	-5	-16	-20
Roanoke	5,180,000	5,000,000	6,225,000	7,465,000	44	49	20
Tar-Pamlico	9,375,400	8,240,000	7,536,000	6,601,301	-30	-20	-12
Chowan	4,540,000	5,460,000	5,680,000	6,020,000	33	10	6
Broad	1,270,000	1,850,000	2,340,000	5,475,400	331	196	134
Pasquotank	2,380,000	2,280,000	1,680,000	2,100,000	-12	-8	25
White Oak	1,122,000	1,060,000	1,064,000	1,681,300	50	59	58
Other	2,677,000 nvironment	al Ouality	2,633,300	6,587,600	146	310	150

Some Adaptive Evaluation Questions

## Source(s) of increasing N, P to estuary?

- Type(s)/nature
  - Character of driving activity
  - Nutrient release magnitudes, dynamics
- Basin distribution

#### **Released nutrients**

- •Forms, pathways, transformations
- Timing & bioavailability of estuary inputs



### Proposed Neuse/Tar Rule Revisions

Et Br

New Development Stormwater

- Adding 16 Neuse, 3 Tar communities
- Exempt individual SFR lot projects < 5% BUA or on lot > 5 ac
- Offsite thresholds Min. onsite treatment 1° SCM if > 24% BUA
- Improved load accounting tool

Wastewater
Extend TN limits to 0.1-0.5 MGD facilities

## Neuse/Tar Rules Readoption Process

Mandate (2014 150B revisions): all state rules, 10-yr cycle
Prelim's

- •2015 Informal drafts, stakeholder session, 30-day comment
- •2016 Departmental review
- •2017 Revised drafts, more stakeholders

#### Formal process

- •Jan 2018 WQC request to initiate rulemaking
- •~4-6 mo. fiscal analysis, OSBM approval
- •Sum-Fall 2018 60-day comment/hearings, Hearing Officers
- •Early 2019 EMC adoption, RRC approval
- •2020 Legislative review if >10 objections



# Questions?

Neuse and Tar Strategies jim.hawhee@ncdenr.gov 919-807-6438 https://deq.nc.gov/nps

DWR NPS Program rich.gannon@ncdenr.gov 919-807-6440

## Proposed Communities -Neuse/Tar New Development



	Neuse	
at		

Current	Adding
Orange County	
<b>Durham County</b> Durham	
<b>Wake County</b> Cary Garner Raleigh	Morrisville Holly Springs Fuquay, Knightdale Wendell, Rolesville, Wake Forest
Johnston County Smithfield	Clayton
	Nash County
Wayne County Goldsboro	Greene County
Wilson	Wilson County
Kinston	<b>Pitt County</b> Greenville, Winterville
New Bern	Craven County

#### Tar-Pamlico

Current	Adding
Oxford	Granville County
Henderson	Vance County
Franklin County	
Nash County Rocky Mount	
	Wilson County
Edgecombe County Tarboro	
<b>Pitt County</b> Greenville	
Beaufort County Washington	



Department of Environmental Quality

Havelock

## Agricultural Nitrogen Loss Accounting



## Tar-Pamlico - Estimated Cropland N Loss Reductions





Department of Environmental Quality

## Tar-Pamlico Wastewater Compliance, Phases II-IV



#### Flow-Normalized Nitrogen Loads (% vs. 1991-1995) Tar River near Grimesland





