APNEP's Comprehensive Conservation & Management Plan: Collaborative Actions for Protecting & Restoring the Albemarle-Pamlico Ecosystem

Lindsey Smart, APNEP Project Coordinator Albemarle-Pamlico National Estuary Partnership (APNEP)

EBM Track Craven Boardroom 1:30 – 2:00pm





APNEP's Mission

To identify, restore, and protect the significant resources

of the Albemarle-Pamlico estuarine system.





National Estuary Partnership

- Designated as an estuary of national significance by Congress in 1987.
- Part of the National Estuary Program, under Section 320 of the Clean Water Act.
- Funded through an EPA grant to the NC Department of Environment and Natural Resources.
- Each program establishes a Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan (CCMP).
- The plan addresses water quality, habitat, and living resource challenges in each program's designated watershed.







APNEP's Management Approach

- Watershed approach
- Applied science initiatives
- Collaborative, inclusive initiatives
- Partnerships







2012 Update to Management Approach

Incorporation of **Ecosystem-based Management**

- Systems-based approach.
- Human and environmental considerations.
- Adaptive management.
- Accountability.





Ecosystem-based Management

- Holistic vision & plan to include a comprehensive description of the system.
- Effective engagement of policy makers, managers, scientists, and stakeholders.
- Adaptive management framework to address a changing system.







Plan Organization

4 Fundamental Questions:

1. What is a healthy Albemarle-Pamlico estuarine system?

2. What is the status of the Albemarle-Pamlico estuarine system?

3. What are the greatest challenges facing the Albemarle-Pamlico system?

4. What actions should be taken to move toward a healthier system by 2022?





Question 1:

What is a Healthy Albemarle-Pamlico Estuarine System?

- 3 overarching goals established for the system.
- 12 measurable ecosystem outcomes.
- Ecosystem outcomes reflect desired status of the estuarine system.
- If goals are fully met, the system would be healthy.



Goal Description		where human communities are sustained by a functioning ecosystem.		
Outcome l	D Goal ID	Outcome Description		
1a	Goal 1	Waters are safe for personal contact.		
1b	Management Goal Goal 1	Designated surface and groundwater supplies are safe for human comsumption.		
1c	Goal 1	Surface hydrologic regimes sustain regulated human uses.		
1d	Goal 1	Fish and game are safe for human consumption.		
1e	Goal 1	Ecosystem Outcomes Opportunities for recreation and access to public lands and waters are protected and enhanced.		
Goal Description A region where aquatic, wetland, and upland habitats support viable populations of native species.				
Outcome l	D Goal ID	Outcome Description		

Outcome iD	Goarib	Outcome Description
2a	Goal 2	The biodiversity, function, and populations of species in aquatic, wetland, and upland communties are protected, restored, or enhanced.
2b	Goal 2	The extent and quality of upland, freshwater, estuarine, and near-shore marine habitats fully support biodiversity and ecosystem function.
2c	Goal 2	Non-native invasive species do not significantly impair native species' viability or function, nore impair habitat quality, quantity, and the processes that form and maintain habitats.

Goal Description	A region where water quantity and quality maintain ecological integrity.		
Outcome ID	Goal ID	Outcome Description	
3a	Goal 3	Appropriate hydrologic regimes support ecological integrity.	
3b	Goal 3	Nutrients and pathogens do not harm species that depend on the waters.	
3c	Goal 3	Toxics in waters and sediments do not harm species that depend on the waters.	
3d	Goal 3	Sediments do not harm species that depend on the waters.	

Goal	Ecosystem Outcome	CCMP Supporting Actions	Candidate Indicator
1: Human Communities	1a: Waters are safe for personal contact.	A1.1, 1.2, 2.3, 3.3; B1.2; C1.1,1.2, 1.4; D1.1, 1.2, 2.3,3.1,3.3; E1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 2.2	Beach action days/closings by water body type (sounds, freshwater river, lake, brackish river)
	1b: Designated surface and ground water supplies are safe for human consumption.	A1.1, 1.2, 2.3, 3.3; B1.2; C1.1,1.2, 1.4; D1.1, 1.2, 2.3,3.1,3.3; E1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 2.2	WQ standard violations (surface waters)
A region			Drinking water standard violations (aquifers)
where human communities	1c: Surface hydrologic regimes sustain regulated human uses.	A 1.1, 1.2, 1.2, 2.3, 3.4; D 1.2, 2.2, 3.2; E1.1, 1.2, 2.1 2.2	Severity and frequency of droughts
are sustained by a	1d: Fish and game are safe for human	A1.1, 1.2, 2.3, 3.3; B1.2; C1.1,1.2; D1.1,	Fish consumption advisories
functioning	consumption.	1.2, 2.3, 3.1, 3.3; E1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 2.2	Shellfish area closures
ecosystem	1e: Opportunities for recreation and access to public lands and waters are protected and enhanced.	A 1.1, 1.2, 2.3; D 1.1, 1.2, 1.5, 2.2, 3.3; E1.1, 1.2, 2.1 2.2	Total distance of land and paddle trails
			Water access points: number & location
		A1.1, 1.2, 2.2, 3.1, 3.4: B 1.3, 2.1, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 3.3; C 1.3, 1.4, 2.2, 3.2, 3.3, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4; D1.1, 1.2, 1.4, 2.1, 2.2, 3.1, 3.3; E 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 2.2	Oyster bed extent
			River herring abundance
0. Nativa	2a: The biodiversity, function, and populations of species in aquatic, wetland, and upland		King rail, Swainson's warbler population /occurrences
2: Native Species	communities are protected, restored, or enhanced.		Box Turtle population /occurrences
A region			Longleaf Pine extent, location
where aquatic, wetland, and			Firefly population
upland habitats	2b: The extent and quality of upland, freshwater, estuarine and near-shore marine habitats fully support biodiversity and ecosystem function.	A 1.1, 1.2, 2.3, 3.1, 3.2, 3.4; B 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3; C 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 2.1, 2.2, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 5.1, 5.2, 5.3; D 1.2, 1.4, 2.2, 3.1, 3.3; E1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 2.2	SAV extent and composition
support viable populations of native species			Quality & extent of anadromous fish spawning/nursery areas
naive species	2c: Non-native invasive species do not significantly impair native species' viability or function, nor impair habitat quality, quantity, and the processes that form and maintain habitats.	A 1.2, 2.1, 2.3; B 2.6; C 3.1; D 1.2, 1.3, 2.2, 3.3; E 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 2.2	Hydrilla population status/occurrences
			Phragmites australis extent (common reed)
			Kudzu population status/occurrences
3: Water	3a: Appropriate hydrologic regimes support ecological integrity.	A 1.2, 2.1, 2.3; B 2.6; C 3.1; D 1.2, 1.3, 2.2, 3.3; E 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 2.2	Dissolved oxygen concentration
Quantity &			Major river flows
Quality	3b: Nutrients and pathogens do not harm species that depend on the waters.	A 1.1, 1.2, 2.3; B 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5; C 1.2, 2.1, 2.3, 2.4; D 1.1,1.2, 1.4, 2.1,2.2, 3.3, E1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 2.2	Amount and extent of impaired waters
A region where water quantity and quality maintain ecological integrity			Chlorophyll-a concentration
	3c: Toxics in waters and sediments do not harm species that depend on the waters.	A 1.1, 1.2, 2.3, 2.4; B 1.1; C 1.2; D 1.2, 3.1, 3.3; E 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 2.2	Amount and extent of impaired waters
			Dissolved metals concentrations
	3d: Sediments do not harm species that depend on	A 1.1, 1.2, 2.3; B 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 2.3, 2.6, 3.1,	Amount and extent of impaired waters
	the waters.	3.2; C 1.3, 1.5, 2.1, 2.3, 3.1, 3.2; D 1.2, 3.1, 3.3; E 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 2.2	Average secchi disk depth





Question 2:

What is the status of the Albemarle-Pamlico System?

- This question is currently addressed by APNEP's 2012 Ecosystem Assessment.
- And in line with the adaptive management framework & ecosystem-based principles, this assessment will be revised periodically.
- Gaps and deficiencies in information will be identified through this process.
- The assessment analyzes 24 environmental indicators to give a status and trends overview for the system.





What Are the Greatest Challenges Facing the System?

- This question was addressed by APNEP's ecosystem-based management process.
- Significant challenges include:
 - Alteration and loss of habitat,
 - Land use changes,

Question 3:

- Ongoing pollution inputs,
- Increasing population,
- Climate pressures.





Question 4:

What Actions Should Be Taken to Move Toward a Healthier Watershed by 2022?

- Management activities defined.
- Each management action is linked directly to one or more environmental outcomes.
- Indicators will be developed to track the implementation of management actions.
- Partner organizations & agencies are identified to highlight complimentary strengths & promote accountability.





5 Components

IDENTIFY

PROTECT

RESTORE

ENGAGE







Example

Objective A1: Develop & Refine Conservation Atlas **Action A1.1:** Facilitate the mapping of significant ecological, bathymetric, geologic, demographic, & cultural features.

In a dynamic natural and social environment, regional mapping efforts develop and maintain the timely information necessary to support environmental decision making.

Key Partners: NC-OLWS, NC-DMF, SALCC, USFWS, NOAA, NC-DCM, NC-WRC, NC-NHP, VA-NHP

- Outputs: Maps and GIS data
- **Results:** Improved resource management decisions.





To Recap:

- 4 framing questions
- 3 overarching goals
- 12 ecosystem outcomes
- 16 objectives
- 58 actions
- The plan follows ecosystem-based management principles.
- It establishes an adaptive management framework to respond effectively to changes.
- It establishes quantifiable accountability measures.
- The plan organization and principles will ultimately lead to more effective implementation.

mbarking on a New Doyag

Questions?

CCMP can be downloaded at: <u>http://portal.ncdenr.org/web/apnep/ccmp</u>

Lindsey Smart, APNEP Project Coordinator, <u>lindsey.smart@ncdenr.gov</u>, 919-707-8631