#### APNEP Water Resources Monitoring & Assessment


- Develop a monitoring strategy for Water Resource metrics within the APNEP region
- Metric-specific monitoring proposals
- Indicators to be featured in the 2010 APNEP Regional Ecosystem Assessment





themarle-Pamilie

#### River Basins in the APNEP Region



Map Created By Lori Brinn, 2010



#### APNEP's Transition to Ecosystem-Based Management

- A holistic vision and plan that includes a comprehensive description of the A-P system and articulation of multiple management objectives.
- A community that has effective engagement of policy makers, managers, scientists, & stakeholders.
- A process that includes effective adaptive management to address a changing system.
- A framework that includes appropriate authority, implementation area, management institutions, financial resources, and effective communications.



## APNEP "Human" Goal and Outcomes (Draft)

- A region where human communities are sustained by a functioning regional ecosystem
  - Waters are safe for personal contact
  - Designated waters are safe for consumption
  - Hydrologic regimes support human activities
  - Fish and game are safe for human consumption
  - Opportunities for recreation and access to public lands and waters are protected and enhanced



 An ecosystem that provides natural resource uses such as agriculture, aquaculture, fisheries, forestry, and mining

## APNEP "Flora & Fauna" Goal and Outcomes (Draft)

- A region where aquatic, wetland, and upland habitats are protected, enhanced, or restored and support viable populations of native species
  - The biodiversity, function and species populations of aquatic communities are protected, restored, or enhanced
  - The biodiversity, function and species populations of wetland communities are protected, restored, or enhanced



 The biodiversity, function and species populations of upland communities are protected, restored, or enhanced

## APNEP "Flora & Fauna" Goal and Outcomes (Draft)

- A region where aquatic, wetland, and upland habitats are protected, enhanced, or restored and support viable populations of native species
  - Extent and quality of marine and nearshore habitats maintain, restore, or enhance biodiversity and ecosystem function
  - Extent and quality of *freshwater* habitats maintain, restore, or enhance biodiversity and ecosystem function
  - Extent and quality of upland habitats maintain, restore, or enhance biodiversity and ecosystem function
  - Non-native species do not significantly reduce native species' viability or function, or impair habitat quality, quantity, or the processes that form and maintain habitats



## APNEP "Water" Goal and Outcomes (Draft)

- A region where water quantity and quality maintain ecological integrity
  - Hydrologic regimes support ecological integrity
  - *Nutrients* and *pathogens* do not harm the species that depend on the waters
  - Toxics in waters and sediments do not harm the species that depend on the waters





Source: US Clean Water Action Plan Partners. 2000. Clean Water Action Plan: Coastal Research and Monitoring Strategy.

## APNEP Targets 2010-2011

- Regional Ecosystem Assessment 1.0
  - Indicator Specification 1.1
- Comprehensive Conservation & Management Plan (CCMP) 2.0
  - Ecosystem-Based Management (EBM) Plan 1.0
- Integrated Monitoring Strategy 1.0
  - Indicator Specification 1.1



#### **APNEP Monitoring & Assessment**


- APNEP staff adopt indicators/metrics in 2007
- Plan in 2008 to develop an integrated monitoring strategy for those indicators
- In concert with APNEP revising its Comprehensive Conservation & Management Plan (CCMP)
- Six APNEP resource monitoring & assessment teams



Human Dimensions

## Regional Ecosystem Model





Species Introduction and Removal



## Water Resources Monitoring & Assessment Team Representation

- APNEP
- NC-DENR
  - DEH
  - DFR
  - DWQ
  - DWR
  - NERR
- VA-SNR
  - DCR
  - DEH
  - DEQ



- EPA
- FS
- FWS
- NOAA
- NPS
- USGS
- STAC/ Ex-STAC



#### **EPA Indicator Development for Estuaries**

- Program Planning
- Conceptual Model Development
- Indicator Specification
- Monitoring Program Development
- Implementation
- Reassessment







Figure 3. Stresser-based concepted sub-model for toxics in the nearshore environment Weighting of line around eccepter component circles indicates amount of monitoring data available





#### **APNEP Indicator Definition**

"A numerical value derived from actual measurements of a pressure, state or ambient condition, exposure, ecological condition, or measure of human health or wellbeing over a specified geographic domain, whose trends over time represent or draw attention to underlying trends in the condition of the environment in the A-P region."



#### **APNEP Indicator Criteria**

- Utilization: Address a key process or property, and answers (or makes an important contribution toward answering) an important question about conditions in the A-P region
- Objectivity: Developed and presented in an accurate, clear, complete, and unbiased manner
- Integrity: Underlying data should be characterized by sound collection methodologies and data management systems adequate to protect its integrity, and to comply with quality assurance procedures
- Availability: Data should be available and timely, or will likely be available in the future, to maintain the indicator's utility
- Representation: Trends should accurately represent the underlying trends in the target population



Clarity: The indicator should be clearly defined and reproducible. The specific data used and the specific assumptions, analytical methods, and statistical procedures employed are clearly stated

#### **APNEP Objectives-Metrics Hierarchy**

- Modules
- Categories
- Dimensions

17

Metrics



## Candidate Water Resource Indicators



Module	Category	Dimension	Indicator	
IV: Water Resources		IV-A-1: Nutrient Loads	IV-A-1-a	Nitrogen & Phosphorus Loading
		IV-A-2: Oxygen-Depleting Substances		
	IV-A: Water Quality Threats (Load)	Loads	IV-A-2-a	Biochemical Oxygen Demand
		IV-A-3: Sediment Loads	IV-A-3-a	: Sediments from Land
		IV-A-4: Toxicant Loads	IV-A-4-a	Toxicants from Land
		IV-B-1: Water Quality Degradation	IV-B-1-a	Amount & Extent of Impaired Waters
			IV-B-1-b	WQ Standard Violations
			IV-B-1-c	Acute WQ Problem Sites
	IV-B: Surface Water Quality (In Column)	IV-B-2: Water Quality in High-Value Sites	IV-B-2-a	
				WQ in Nursery Areas
			IV-B-2-b	WQ in SAV Habitats & Shellfish Waters
		IV-B-3: Nutrient Sensitive Waters	IV-B-3-a	Nutrient Concentrations in NSW
		IV-B-4: Physical Contaminants	IV-B-4-a	Dissolved Oxygen Standard Violations
			IV-B-4-b	Sediment Standard Violations
			IV-B-4-c	Salinity Concentration
			IV-в-4-с IV-B-4-d	:
				Estuarine Debris
		IV-B-5: Algae	IV-B-4-e	Underwater Acoustics
		IV-B-6: Pathogens	IV-B-5-a	Chlorophyll-a Concentration
		IV-B-6: Pathogens	IV-B-6-a	Shellfish & Swimming Area Closures
		IV-B-7: Toxicants	IV-B-7-a	Toxicant Standards Violations
			IV-В-7-b	Metals Standards Violations
		IV-B-8: Emerging Contaminants		
	IV-C: Ground Water Quality	IV-C-1: GW Quality Degradation	IV-B-8-a	Personal Care & Pharmaceutical By-Products/Nanoparticles
			IV-C-1-a	: Drinking Water Standard Violations (Water-supply Aquifers)
			IV-C-1-b	Acute WQ Problem Sites
		IV-C-2: GW Physico-Chemical		:
		Contaminants	IV-C-2-a	: Saltwater Intrusion
		IV-C-3: GW Pathogens		
			IV-C-3-a	E. coli in Land Use Categories (Shallow Aquifer)
		IV-C-4: GW Toxicants		
			IV-C-4-a	Toxicant Concentrations in Land Use Categories (Shallow Aguife
		IV-C-5: GW Emerging Contaminants		
		IV-C-6: GW Nutrients	IV-C-5-a	Emerging Contaminants in Land Use Categories (Shallow Aquife
	IV-D: Sediment Quality	IV-D-1: Sediment Toxicants	IV-C-6-a	Nutrient Concentrations in Land Use Categories (Shallow Aquifer
		IV-D-2: Sediment Nutrients	IV-D-1-a	Sediment Quality Triad
			IV-D-2-a	Sediment Nutrient Concentration
II: Land Cover	II-A: Landscape Vulnerability	II-A-1: Sea Level	ll-A-1-a	Sea Level/Relative Sea Level
III: Material Cycles	III-A: Water Cycle		II-A-1-b	Shoreline/Beach Width: Inundation Frequency
		III-A-1: Mainstem Hydrograph	W & 1 &	Flows Severity Frequency Duration of Droughts & Floods
		III-A-2: Sounds Water Balance		Flows, Severity, Frequency, Duration of Droughts & Floods
		III-A-3: Ground Water Levels	III-A-2-a	Estuarine Residence Time
		. III-A-3. Ground Water Levels	III-A-3-a	Ground Water Levels
	III-B: Aquatic Element of Carbon Cycle	III-B-1: Sequestered Carbon	III-B-1-a	Stored Carbon in Water Column & Sediments
	III Di Aquatia Element of Toxia-ut- Curls	III-D-1: Non-Metals Contaminants	III-D-1-a	Toxicant (TBD) Discharges
	III-D: Aquatic Element of Toxicants Cycle	- III-D-1: Non-Metals Contaminants	III-U-11-8	TOxicant (TBD) Discharges

#### A-P Ambient Monitoring Program

- Precise goals and specific measures for monitoring policy effectiveness should be designed and tested at the time that a policy is implemented
- Status Quo: APNEP 2000 monitoring survey update



#### **APNEP Monitoring Proposal**

- Justification for indictor
- Goal of sampling/monitoring program
  - What the optimum sampling/monitoring program will achieve and why that is important
  - Existing sampling/monitoring program
    - Objectives What the existing program is designed to measure.
      - Example: Conduct periodic aerial mapping to monitor dramatic change of SAV presence over 5-year increments in four of six APES regions
    - Methods
    - Costs
    - Data quality control (data quality objective)
    - Data analysis, statistical methods and hypotheses



#### **APNEP Monitoring Proposal**

#### • Enhanced sampling/monitoring program

- Objectives what the enhanced sampling/monitoring program is designed to measure.
  - Example: Estimate the areal distribution and abundance of SAV along the western shorelines of APES and be capable of detecting significant change in SAV distribution and abundance
- Methods
- Costs
- Data quality control (data quality objective)
- Data analysis, statistical methods and hypotheses
- Reference(s)
- Contact Person



## **Monitoring Integration Continuum**

- Independence: Knowledge of partners monitoring strategies
- Cooperation: Taking advantage of common geography, timing
- Collaboration: Opportunities to leverage partners' monitoring networks
- Integration: Working toward a common set of regional ecosystem objectives



#### Heinz Center's State of the Ecosystem Assessment Format

- Summation Table: What do the most recent data show? Have data values changed over time?
- Part 1: Why is the indicator important?
- Part 2: What does this indicator report?
- Part 3: What do the data show?
- Part 4: Understanding the data (or discussion)
- Part 5: Why can't the entire indicator be reported at this time?
- Technical note (appendix)




# System-Wide Indicators Proposed for 2010 APNEP Assessment

#### Climate change

- *Metrics*: relative sea level, storm frequency\*\*, storm intensity\*\*, average salinity across the estuarine system\*
- Air quality
  - *Metrics*: wet nitrate deposition, wet ammonia deposition, tropospheric ozone concentration (secondary standard), total nitrate air concentration
- Unusual mortalities/disease\*
  - *Metrics*: instances of mass, or otherwise unusual, deaths of marine mammals<sup>\*\*</sup>, fishes<sup>\*</sup>, birds, and turtles<sup>\*\*</sup>; instances of disease in marine mammals<sup>\*\*</sup>, fishes<sup>\*</sup>, birds, and turtles
- Economic productivity\*
  - *Metrics:* major yields and monetary value of agricultural, silvicultural, and fisheries\* products
  - Species diversity\*

bemarle-Pam



#### Land-Based Indicators Proposed for 2010 APNEP Assessment

#### Land cover\*

 Metrics: areal extent of wetlands\*, urban areas\*, agricultural land\*, forests\*, and silvicultural land; number of controlled animal feeding operations (CAFOs)

#### Population\*\*

 Metrics: human population by county\*\*, river basin\*\*, and entire AP system\*\*



## Water-Based Indicators Proposed for 2010 APNEP Assessment

#### Water quality\*

- *Metrics*: instances of violations of Clean Water Act 303(d) criteria including chemical and dissolved metal concentrations\*, bacterial counts\*, dissolved oxygen\*, total phosphorus\*, total nitrogen\*, chlorophyll *a*\*, suspended solids\* and turbidity\*
- Extent of living habitat\*
  - Metrics: areal extent of submerged aquatic vegetation\* and areal extent of oyster beds\*
- Fish populations\*
  - *Metrics*: stock statuses of choice species\* (these were commercial species in the last assessment)
- Economic productivity\*
  - Metrics: major yields and monetary value of agricultural, silvicultural, and fisheries\* products

#### **Riverine Inputs\***

 Metrics: freshwater flow rates\*, number and type of point source polluters\*, nutrients\*, total suspended solids\*



#### **Regional Ecosystem Services**

Provisioning (e.g., food, water, timber, fiber)
Regulating (climate, floods, disease, wastes)

- Cultural (recreational, asethetic, spiritual)
- Supporting (e.g., soil formation, photosynthesis, nutrient cycling)

