APNEP's Water Resources Monitoring & Assessment Activity Phase I (2008-2010) and Pre-Phase II (2011-2016)

Dean Carpenter Albemarle-Pamlico National Estuary Partnership

Water Resources Monitoring & Assessment Workshop Imperial Centre for Arts and Sciences 19 June 2017



APNEP Mission

"To identify, restore, and protect the significant resources of the Albemarle-Pamlico estuarine system."





National Estuary Partnership

APNEP Implementation Area and Management Institutions





APNEP Water Resources Monitoring & Assessment (Phase I)

- Develop a monitoring strategy for Water Resource metrics within the APNEP region
- Metric-specific monitoring proposals
- Indicators to be featured in the 2012 APNEP Regional Ecosystem Assessment



APNEP's Transition to Ecosystem-Based Management

- A holistic vision and plan that includes a comprehensive description of the A-P system and articulation of multiple management objectives.
- A community that has effective engagement of policy makers, managers, scientists, & stakeholders.
- A process that includes effective adaptive management to address a changing system.
- A framework that includes appropriate authority, implementation area, management institutions, financial resources, and effective communications.



APNEP's Ecosystem Health Goals

- A region where human communities are sustained by a functioning ecosystem
- A region where aquatic, wetland, and upland habitats support viable populations of native species
- A region where water quantity and quality maintain ecological integrity



Figure 2: APNEP's adaptive management cycle.



APNEP Targets 2017-2018

- Regional Ecosystem Assessment 2.0
 - Indicator Specification 1.1
- Comprehensive Conservation & Management Plan (CCMP) 2.1
 - Ecosystem-Based Management (EBM) Plan 1.0
- Integrated Monitoring Strategy 1.0
 - Indicator Specification 1.1



APNEP Monitoring & Assessment 2008-2010

- APNEP staff adopt indicators/metrics in 2007
- Plan in 2008 to develop an integrated monitoring strategy for those indicators
- In concert with APNEP revising its Comprehensive Conservation & Management Plan (CCMP)
- Six APNEP resource monitoring & assessment teams



Human Dimensions

Regional Ecosystem Model





Species Introduction and Removal

Water Resources Monitoring & Assessment Team Representation (Phase I)

- APNEP
- NC-DA&CS
 - FS
- NC-DENR
 - DMF
 - DWQ
 - DWR
 - NERR
- NC-WRC
- VA-SNR
 - DCR
 - DEH
 - DEQ

- Federal
 - COE
 - EPA
 - FS
 - FWS
 - NOAA
 - NPS
 - USGS
- STAC/ Ex-STAC
 - ECU
 - NCSU
 - UNC-CH
 - PTRF

11



EPA Indicator Development for Estuaries

- Program Planning
- Conceptual Model Development
- Indicator Specification
- Monitoring Program Development
- Implementation
- Reassessment





APNEP Indicator Definition

"A numerical value derived from actual measurements of a pressure, state or ambient condition, exposure, ecological condition, or measure of human health or wellbeing over a specified geographic domain, whose trends over time represent or draw attention to underlying trends in the condition of the environment in the A-P region."



APNEP Indicator Criteria

- Utilization: Address a key process or property, and answers (or makes an important contribution toward answering) an important question about conditions in the A-P region
- Objectivity: Developed and presented in an accurate, clear, complete, and unbiased manner
- Integrity: Underlying data should be characterized by sound collection methodologies and data management systems adequate to protect its integrity, and to comply with quality assurance procedures
- Availability: Data should be available and timely, or will likely be available in the future, to maintain the indicator's utility
- Representation: Trends should accurately represent the underlying trends in the target population





APNEP Objectives-Metrics Hierarchy

- Modules
- Categories
- Dimensions
- Metrics



Candidate Water Resource Indicators

	Module	Category	Dimension		Indicator
			IV-A-1: Nutrient Loads	IV-A-1-a	Nitrogen & Phosphorus Loading
			IV-A-2: Oxygen-Depleting Substances Loads		
		IV-A: Water Quality Threats (Load)		IV-A-2-a	Biochemical Oxygen Demand
			IV-A-3: Sediment Loads	IV-A-3-a	Sediments from Land
			IV-A-4: Toxicant Loads	IV-A-4-a	Toxicants from Land
				IV-B-1-a	Amount & Extent of Impaired Waters
			IV-B-1: Water Quality Degradation	IV-B-1-b	WQ Standard Violations
				IV-B-1-C	Acute WQ Problem Sites
			IV-B-2: Water Quality in High-Value Sites	IV-B-2-a	WQ in Nursery Areas
				IV-B-2-b	WQ in SAV Habitats & Shellfish Waters
			IV-B-3: Nutrient Sensitive Waters	IV-B-3-a	Nutrient Concentrations in NSW
				IV-B-4-a	Dissolved Oxygen Standard Violations
		IV-B: Surface Water Quality (In Column)		IV-B-4-b	Sediment Standard Violations
			IV-B-4: Physical Contaminants	IV-B-4-c	Salinity Concentration
				IV-B-4-d	Estuarine Debris
	IV: Water Resources			IV-B-4-e	Underwater Acoustics
			IV-B-5: Algae	IV-B-5-a	Chlorophyll-a Concentration
			IV-B-6: Pathogens	IV-B-6-a	Shellfish & Swimming Area Closures
			IV-B-7: Toxicants	IV-B-7-a	Toxicant Standards Violations
			Tr b j. Toxicano	IV-B-7-b	Metals Standards Violations
			IV-B-8: Emerging Contaminants	IV-B-8-a	Personal Care & Pharmaceutical By-Products/Nanoparticles
			IV-C-1: GW Quality Degradation	IV-C-1-a	Drinking Water Standard Violations (Water-supply Aquifers)
		IV-C: Ground Water Quality		IV-C-1-b	Acute WQ Problem Sites
			IV-C-2: GW Physico-Chemical Contaminants		
			IV-C-3: GW Pathogens	IV-C-2-a	Saltwater Intrusion
				IV-C-3-a	E. coli in Land Use Categories (Shallow Aquifer)
			IV-C-4: GW Toxicants	IV-C-4-a	Toxicant Concentrations in Land Use Categories (Shallow Aquifer)
			IV-C-5: GW Emerging Contaminants		
			5 0 0	IV-C-5-a	Emerging Contaminants in Land Use Categories (Shallow Aquifer)
			IV-C-6: GW Nutrients	IV-C-6-a	Nutrient Concentrations in Land Use Categories (Shallow Aquifer)
			IV-D-1: Sediment Toxicants	IV-D-1-a	Sediment Quality Triad
		IV-D: Sediment Quality	IV-D-2: Sediment Nutrients	IV-D-2-a	Sediment Nutrient Concentration
				II-A-1-a	Sea Level/Relative Sea Level
Pamires	II: Land Cover	II-A: Landscape Vulnerability	II-A-1: Sea Level	II-A-1-b	Shoreline/Beach Width: Inundation Frequency
16			III-A-1: Mainstem Hydrograph	III-A-1-a	Flows, Severity, Frequency, Duration of Droughts & Floods
*		III-A: Water Cycle	III-A-2: Sounds Water Balance	III-A-2-a	Estuarine Residence Time
			III-A-3: Ground Water Levels	III-A-3-a	Ground Water Levels
and a	III: Material Cycles			III-A-3-a	Gibula water Levels
A		III-B: Aquatic Element of Carbon Cycle	III-B-1: Sequestered Carbon	III-B-1-a	Stored Carbon in Water Column & Sediments
Nº C		III-D: Aquatic Element of Toxicants Cycle	III-D-1: Non-Metals Contaminants	III-D-1-a	Toxicant (TBD) Discharges
lin a		m-b. Aquate Element of Toxicalits Cycle	m-D-1. Non-metals containinditts	III-D-I-a	Toxicane (TDD) Discharges

elbemarle.

A-P Ambient Monitoring Program

- Precise goals and specific measures for monitoring policy effectiveness should be designed and tested at the time that a policy is implemented
- Status Quo: APNEP 2000 monitoring survey update



APNEP Monitoring Proposal

- Justification for indicator
- Goal of sampling/monitoring program
 - What the optimum sampling/monitoring program will achieve and why that is important
- Existing sampling/monitoring program
 - Objectives What the existing program is designed to measure.
 - Example: Conduct periodic aerial mapping to monitor dramatic change of SAV presence over 5-year increments in four of six APES regions
 - Methods
 - Costs
 - Data quality control (data quality objective)
 - Data analysis, statistical methods and hypotheses



APNEP Monitoring Proposal

• Enhanced sampling/monitoring program

- Objectives what the enhanced sampling/monitoring program is designed to measure.
 - Example: Estimate the areal distribution and abundance of SAV along the western shorelines of APES and be capable of detecting significant change in SAV distribution and abundance
- Methods
- Costs
- Data quality control (data quality objective)
- Data analysis, statistical methods and hypotheses
- Reference(s)
- Contact Person



Monitoring Integration Continuum

- Independence: Knowledge of partners monitoring strategies
- Cooperation: Taking advantage of common geography, timing
- Collaboration: Opportunities to leverage partners' monitoring networks
- Integration: Working toward a common set of regional ecosystem objectives



APNEP EBM Transition Team

- Policy Board Science & Technical Advisory Committee Citizens Advisory Committee State Planner Federal Planner EBM Tech Transfer
- Staff





Step 1: Articulate program goals

- Objectives Hierarchy Structure
 - Goal-Objective-Management Action-Step (1994)
 - Goal-Subgoal-Objective-Management Action (2008-2010)
 - Goal-Outcome + Component-Objective-Action (2012)
- Objectives Hierarchy Content
 - Five Goals, 15 Objectives, 49 Actions (1994)
 - Three Goals, 12 Outcomes + 5 Components, 15 Objectives, 58 Actions (2012)



Step 2: Develop system level model for goal attainment

Ecological management actions (stressor mitigation) can impact multiple ecosystem endpoints

Multiple stressors (including other endpoints) impact directly and indirectly ecosystem endpoints





		Goal 1: hun	nan communitie	s sustained by f	functioning syste	em	Goal 2: habitats	Goal 2: habitats protected, enhanced, restored, supporting native species				Goal 3: water quantity and quality ater upland hydrologic nutrients and								
		safe contact safe consun	support activities	fish/game safe	access protected	sustained harvesting	aquatic community		upland community		freshwater up habitats ha				utrients and erms toxics			i prote restor ct e	nt oral	
logical factors • fauna																				
	manage non-native species introduction and impacts preserve/protect RTE species					M-M	M-M M-M	M-M	L-M	L-L	M-L					3		x		
•	preserve and restore shellfish communities (reefs)		_					-		H-H						1		x x		
•	management of native/non-native grazers (deer) management of predators (red wolf, coyote, domestic animals)										H-I L-N	4				1		x		
flora	manage non-native species introduction and impacts												-			_				
	manage non-native species introduction and impacts preserve/protect RTE species					M-M	M-M M-M	M-M	M-M	L-L	M-L					4		x		
	preserve and restore submerged aquatic vegetation									H-M/L						1		x x		
- :	preserve and restore coastal wetlands preserve and restore coastal forests									H-M						1		x x x x		
•	management of native forests, shrub/scrub communities (fire management)										H-I	N				1		x		
• microorgan	manage sources and loads of pathogens	H-M H-M	_	H-M								ĿL	-			3		x		
•	manage introduction/spread of pathogens					M-M	L-L					L-L				1		x		
ical factors • structure			-																	
•	preserve/establish public access to public lands and waters manage landuse to minimize conflict/negative impacts on use diversity				H-H	H-M										1		x		
	manage conversion of aquatic habitats			-		H-M	H-H			H-H						1		x		
•	manage wetland buffer conversion preserve wetland migration opportunities							H-M H-M/L								1		x		
	maintain hubs and corridors for green infrastructure							n-m/L	H-M							1		x		
	identify critical conservation areas manage floodplain and riparian area conversion								н-н		H-M					2	x			
•	manage channel modification				-			-			H-H					1		x		
hydrology	green infrastructure										H-I	N				1		x		
•	MIF adequate to support all desired uses		H-M	-				-								1				
	manage consumptive uses of water preserve natural hydrographs				_	H-H	H-M	H-M			LH					1		x		
temperatur	re																			
	manage alteration of natural temperature regimes control modification of riparian vegetation					L-L					L-H L-M					2		x		
nical factors				-														i la		
 salinity pH 																				
 nutrients 																				
toxics	implement TMDL management for nutrients						M-M				M-M			H	-M/L	2		x		
•	manage sources and loads	L-L		H-M	-	H-H	L-M				L-M				H-M	1		x		
 factors use objective 	ves							-					-							
	establish appropriate use designation for waters	н-н н-н		H-H				_						H	-H	4	x			
	establish and implement public access/use plan manage potential use conflicts that reduce sustainability of natural capital				N-H	H-M										1	x	x		
•	manage potential use impacts on habitat diversity and quality						H-M			H-M						2	x	x		
•	manage landuse in wetlands and wetland buffers identify and control incompatible uses (receiving waters, shipping, recreation, etc.)		H-H					11-H									×	x		
•	land use management (maintain green infrastructure)										H-					1	x	x x		
•	forestry management manage consumptive uses										H-1		H			1		x		
•	management of agricultural pollutant sources management of developed land pollutant sources (stormwater)													H	-M	1		x		
 modificatio 	on of system							-										^		
•	establish/implement TMDL for pollutants avoid privatization of public lands and access points	H-H H-H		H-M	H-M											2	×	x	~	
	manage conversion of habitats that reduce diversity or productivity				11-M			-		H-M					2.5	1	x	x	x	
•	manage dredging, filling, and water withdraw		M-H				H-H	H.M.			H-M					1		x		
•	manage hydrology modification manage permanent conversion of wetland buffers		W-H	-				H-M H-M								1	2 4	×	x	
•	manage landuse/green infrastructure manage channel modification								H-M		14.1					1			x	
· · ·	manage floodplain/riparian land conversion										H-H H-M			H	-M	1			x	
•	manage road development manage development										H-					1		x		
•	manage dam construction												M	н		1		x		
 knowledge 	manage flood plain conversion												H-	M		1		x	x	
- knowledge	technical understanding of health risks (sources, thresholds)	M-H H-H		H-H												2	x			
	technical understanding of use thresholds for sustainability technical understanding of system trajectory and implications for sustainable uses			_	M-M	H-M H-M	M-M	H-M		M-M						1	x			
•	technical understanding of landuse impacts on wetland function							M-M								1	x			
	technical knowledge of structure-function relationship technical understanding of critical blue infrastructure								M-M	M-M	M-M			-		2	x			
•	technical understanding of green infrastructure requirements										M	м				1	x			
•	technical understanding of non-native species impacts technical understanding of MIF requirements		8-8	-	-			-				H-M	H-	M		1	x			
	tech understanding of TMDLs to meet WQ standards													м	I-M/L	1	x			
•	technical understanding of compound toxicities technical understanding of source/route of introduction		-												H-M H-M	1	x			
•	public understanding of monitoring and advisories	н-н н-н		H-H				H-M						_		3			x	
•	public appreciation of the values of natural capital public appreciation of the thresholds for sustainable use		M-M/L	2	M-M H-M	M-M/L	M-M	H-M	H-M	M-L	M-L	м		-		1			x	
•	public understanding of actions that negatively impact public appreciation of need/methods for control of non-native introduction						H-M									1			x	
· · ·	public appreciation of MIF needs				-								M	L		1			x	
•	public appreciation of risks and need for management policy understanding of need for monitoring		_					_		_				м	I-L/M M-M	1			x	
•	policy understanding of need for monitoring policy understanding of need for regulation	H-H H-H	M-H	H-H H-M	M-M	H-L	H-M	H-8	H-M	M-M	M-M		M-L		M-M H-M	3			x	
																_				
orle D		H-H H-M													_	_				
1110 - 121	n/.	H-M/L																		
	16	H-M/L H-L M-H																		
arle-Pan	6	M-M																		
AND DESCRIPTION		M-L L-H L-M								-										
2010		L-M																		
ALC: NO		L-L	_											_						
(And																				
AS ?	×									_										
10-22																				
and the second																			25	
onal Estuary Progran																			<u>_</u> J	
							1													

vational Estuary Program North Carolina

EBM Step 3: Assess current management efforts -- identify gaps North Carolina North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources

- Directed by conceptual models
- Survey of partners' strategic/action plans
 - Specificity and publication date
 - Action extraction
 - Align with APNEP outcomes/strategies
- Interview senior management

abemarle-Pamile



Wildlife Action Plan







November 2005





Implement CCMP

- Fourth CCMP question
- Ten-year horizon
- 58 CCMP actions
- Super-Aggregated into five components
- Aggregated into 15 CCMP objectives







2b. The extent and quality of upland, freshwater, estuarine and near-shore marine habitats fully support biodiversity and ecosystem function

Oi	utcomes		otron	Actions			Workgroups
	1a	A1.1	B1.1	C1.1	D1.1	E1.1	Freshwater Habitats and Fish Passage
	1b	A1.2	B1.2	C1.2	D1.2	E1.2	Policy & Economics
	1c	A2.1	B1.3	C1.3	D1.3	E1.3	Decision Support Tools
	1d	A2.2	B1.4	C1.4	D1.4	E2.1	Education & Engagement
	1e	A2.3	B1.5	C1.5	D1.5	E2.2	Water Quality Improvements
_	2a	A2.4	B2.1	C2.1	D2.1		Shorelines
	2b	A2.5	B2.2	C2.2	D2.2		Contaminant Management
	2c	A3.1	B2.3	C2.3	D2.3	Ch.	Invasives
	3a	A3.2	B2.4	C3.1	D3.1		Restoration Strategies
	3b	A3.3	B2.5	C3.2	D3.2		Monitoring Networks
	3c		B2.6	C3.3	D3.3		Oysters
	3d	ľ	B3.1	C4.1			SAV
		when	B3.2	C4.2			Flows
		· · · · · · · · · · · ·	B3.3	C4.3			
- Pamires			1	C4.4			
B.				C5.1			
1				C5.2			
				C5.3			
Carolina							

abemarle.

Step 5: Develop monitoring program

- Linking candidate indicators to CCMP outcomes
- Indicator-specific monitoring strategies
 - Justification for indicator
 - Goal of sampling/monitoring program
 - Existing sampling/monitoring program
 - Enhanced sampling/monitoring program
 - Reference(s)



Integrated monitoring strategy



Step 6: Assess performance

- "Interim" regional ecosystem assessment (2012)
 - Select provisional indicators
 - Status & trends from 1995 to present
 - Heinz Center format
- Phase 2 assessment
 - Diagnosis
- Phase 3 assessment
- Forecasting



APNEP Ecosystem Assessment System-Wide: Chemical & Physical

Dissolved Oxygen Concentration Violations

- Why Is DO Concentration Important?
- What Will This Indicator Report?
- What Do the Data Show?
- Why Can't This Entire Indicator Be Reported at This Time?
- Understanding the Data
- Technical Notes



Assessment Planning

• "The greatest challenge in developing a large-scale biogeographic assessment is the synthesis and subsequent analysis of spatial data collected at different scales for varied objectives."

Source: NOAA 2003, citing Gotway and Young 2002



Bioregional Assessment Questions

- What were historic ecological, social, and economic conditions, trends, and variability?
- What are current ecological, social, and economic conditions?
- What are trends and risks under current policies and management?
- What policy choices will achieve ecological sustainability consistent with social well-being?
- What are the implications of these choices? Source: Erman (1999)



Step 7: Manage adaptively

- Most difficult step?
- Senior management engagement
- Trigger levels in plan









Category	Dimension	Indicator Type	Code	Provisional Indicator	Key Partner	Units	Extent	Resolution	Extent	Frequency	Extent	Resolution	Extent	Frequency
	Atmospheric Stressers	Air Physics, Climate Change	V-B-a-b	Precipitation										
			B-D+a	Evapottorepiration by Land Cover Type										
			bhaa	Wastewater Per Capita										
		Liquid Wane Generation	Lana	Water Bases	0008-0000									
	Liquid Stressors		8-0+4	Testana (TERA Medeana										
			N-Area	Can Length Roberton Can Lengt	US-ACE-NC									
		Water Physics, Sea Level Rise	Webba	Sea Laver Scilling Sea Laver										
				Water Tempetature										
			B-D+4	toman (1817) townsign										
			IV-A-spa	Taxicants from Land	US-FWS-SEVANENC									
Franker Brenner			N-C-6-a	Nutrient Concentrations in Land Use Categories (Shallow Aquifer)	USGS-NC									
,		Land-Based Contaminants	N-C-y-a	E. coli in Land Use Categories (Shallow Aquifie)	USGS-NC									
	Terrestrial Stressors		IN-C-på	Toxical Concentrations in Land Use Categories (Mallow Aquaber)	UNLA-NC									
			IV-C-5-a	Emergine Contaminants in Land Use Conserving (Shallow Analler)	USQ5-NC									
			N-A-pa	Collection from Land	NCRU									
		I und Brand Sediment	B-D-va	Sedimentation in Wethands										
				Sedimentation in Wetlands										
			II-D-2-3											
		Water Physics	B-D+a											
		Carbon Cycle	II-8++a	Stored Carbon in Water Column & Sediments										
	Elemental Cycles	Natrient Cycles	BC24	Phosphorus Cycle Candition										
			B-C-ya	Sullar Cycle Condition	USFS-FIA									
	sA: Waters are safe for removal control	Suggestine												
	the person of the person of the second			Human Pathogen Concentration	NC-DENR-DEH									
		Porable Surface Waters		Drinking Water Contaminant Concentrations in Surface Waters	NCRU									
	sli: Designated surface and ground water supplies are safe for		N-C-2-2	Saftwatter Intrusion in Surface Watters	USGS-NC									
	human consumption													
x A region where human communities are sustained by a functioning ecosystem.		Pozable Groundwaters		Drinking Water Contaminant Concentrations in Water-supply Aquifers	USGS-NC									
and any evolution			N-C-s-a	Sultwater Intrusion	USQS-NC									
	1C. Surface hydrologic regimes sustain regulated human uses													
	and the second sec		Bokea	Flows, Severity, Frequency, Dutation of Droughts & Floods	USGS-NC									
	if: Opportunities for recreation and access to public lands and scatters are protected and enhanced													
			TV-B-g-d	Estuarine Debrix	US-NOAA-NC									
		Inflamine (Maine Habilati (Minesch)	N-B-g-e	Underwater Acoustics	US-NOAA-NC									
		Freshwater Habitats (Stressers)	Weber	Freshwater Hard Bottom	NC-WRC, VA-DGF									
2: A region where aquatic, wetland, and upland habitats support viable populations of native species	all: The extrem and quality of upland, freedocator, estaarine, and near-shore marine habitats fully support biodiversity and	Wetland Habitare (Stresson)												
	thorptone ranking		VII-8-2-8	Hydrological Alteration in Wetlands	NC-DWQ, US-DOD-ACE, US-DA-NRCS									
			II-Lob	Water Quality Toxicant Concentrations (e.g., Meccary, Non-Metals Prevalence in Wethard Biota)	NC-DENR-DWQ, VA-DEQ									
				Dissolved Oxygen Concentration										
			N-A-a-a	Biochemical Oxygen Demand										
	via dependent to be being and the second sec		IV-B-q-c	Sullaity	NCRU									
	ar obtentions almostly referes obtain condition much to		II-A+a	Fores. Severity. Frequency, Duration of Droughts & Floods	USIGENC									
			IB-A-3-a		USQS-NC									
			ВАза	Aduantio Residence l'inte	USQS-NC									
			10-4-5-4 10-4-5-4	(Manow) Ground Water Leves										
			IV-lega IV-lega		USGS-NC									
3: A region where water quantity and quality maintain ecological	yli: Nutrients and pathogens do not harm species that depend on the waters	a	N-Aca N-B-3-a	Nitrogen & Phosphorus Loading	USGS-NC									
integrity			N-B-ya N-D-ya	Natrient Concentrations in Natrieor-Sensitive Waters	USGS-NC US-NDAA-SC									
			W-D-2-a	Sediment Nutrient Concentration	US-NOAA-SC									
				Toxicant Concentration										
	3C. Tonics in waters and sediments do not harm species that			Metals Concentration										
	depend on the waters		17-8-6-9	Presenal Care & Pharmacestrical By-Products/Nanonarticles	NC-DENR-DEH, VA-DEO									
			IV-D+a											
			IV-D++a	Sediment Quality Triad										
	III-D: Sediments do not have species that denend on the survey			Augenteen anderen concentration										
	and the second se			Average Secchi Depth		m								
			N-8-6-a		NC PINE DEV									
	sA: Waters are safe for removal context	Suggestine	N-8-6-a	(Snemen h) Socializing Area Closures										
	sk: watercare cate ter present contact													
			N-8+b	mean summary chystronege by water moty type sounds, Fredwater River, Lake, Brackish River)										
		Potable Surface Waters	N-bob N-box	my manane visibilities (selface)										
	sil: Designated surface and ground water supplies are safe for human consumption	Patable Groundwators	n-b+c	man my modell blie (ballace)	NCSU									
			N-C+a N-C+b	ownnang water standard Violations (Water-supply Aquifers)	USGS-NC									
			N-C+b D-B-sb	Acute wrg Proteen Snee (Water-upphy Aquifers)	USGS-NC NC-DENR-DEH									
			N-8-2-6	Water Quality in SAV Habitats & Shellfish Waters	NC-DENR-DEH									
		Estuarine/Marine Habitans (Streeners)												
	all: The extent and quality of upland, freshwater, estuarine, and near-shore marine habitats fully support biodiversity and		P/ 8	Where Our Deale Monore Areas										
	ecopters takines		10 0 V 0	mann Qaanay an channey zonan	NOTI NOT									
			N-8+4	Amount is known of impaired waters	North North									
	34: Appropriate hydrologic regimes support ecological integrity		N-8+6	WQ Standard Viebrions	NGU									
Management Actions			W-Boor D'-Boga	Acate wig Protection Store	NCSU									
			IV-Boga IV-Boga	Disserved Oxygen Standard Violations	NCSU									
				Amount & Enters of Impaired Waters	NCSU									
	jil: Nutrients and pathogens do not harm species that depend on		IV-8++h	WQ Standard Violations	NCSU									
	the waters		W-B-s-c	Acute WQ Problem Sites	NCRJ									
			N-B+a	Amount & Extent of Impaired Waters	NCSU									
			$\mathrm{IV}{\cdot}B{\rightarrow}b,\mathrm{IV}{\cdot}B{-}p{-}a$											
	3C. Toxics in waters and sediments do not harm species that depend on the waters			Toxicant Standards Violations	US-FWS-SEVANENC									
			$\mathrm{IV}(B \! \rightarrow \! b, \mathrm{IV}(B \! - \! \! \gamma \! - \! b$	Manuk Grandrade Violations										
			N.Ber	Metals Mandards Visionini	US-FWS-SEVANENC									
			17-8-ss	Acute WQ Problem Sites	NCSU									
	III-D: Sediments do not harm species that depend on the waters													
			17-8-va	Amount & Entent of Impaired Waters	NCSU									
			$\mathrm{R}^*\mathrm{d} \! \leftrightarrow \! b, \mathrm{R}^*\mathrm{d} \! \to \! b$	Sediment Standard Violations	NCSU									
			Police .	Acute WQ Problem Siles	N'GI									
			1.114		196,080									



Indicator Planning Decisions

- What indicator(s) map to each environmental outcome?
- What are the fair, good, and excellent health target values for each ecosystem outcome indicator?
- What is the expected trajectory of an indicator value, based on how CCMP actions are implemented?
- What is the "trigger" value for a given interval since action steps are implemented, outside of which means the system is not behaving as forecast and change in business (e.g., research, revised action step, partner commitment) is required?



CCMP's Four Questions

- What is a healthy Albemarle-Pamlico Estuarine System?
- What is the status of Albemarle-Pamlico Estuarine System?
- What are the biggest threats to Albemarle-Pamlico Estuarine System?



• What actions should be taken that will move us from where we are today to a healthier Albemarle-Pamlico Sounds by 2022?

Water Monitoring & Assessment Refs

- NC-DNR&CD Coastal Water Quality Trends (1984)
- APES Baseline Water Quality Monitoring Plan (1988)
- USGS-NAWQA WQ Assessment of the A-P Basin (1995)
- EPA Elements of State Water Monitor & Assess (2003)
- NWQMC National WQ Monitor Network for US Coastal Waters and their Tributaries (2006)
- NRC Integrating Multiscale Observations, US Waters (2008)
- USGS Estuarine Monitoring Programs in the Albemarle Sound Study Area, NC (2014)
- EPA National Rivers & Streams Assessment 2008-2009: Technical Report (2016)



- EPA National Coastal Condition Assessment 2010 (2016)
- USGS Water Quality and Bed Sediment Quality in Albemarle Sound 2012-2014 (2016)

The National Academies of SCIENCES • ENGINEERING • MEDICINE

REPORT

Effective Monitoring to Evaluate Ecological Restoration in the Gulf of Mexico





40