



# U.S. EPA 2013 Program Evaluation of the Albemarle-Pamlico National Estuary Partnership

Marilyn Katz
APNEP Headquarters Coordinator and PE Team Lead
Coastal Management Branch

APNEP Policy Board Meeting April 30, 2013

#### **Presentation Goals**

- Set the stage—summarize EPA Program Evaluation (PE) process
- Provide preliminary findings for current review
- Next steps

## **EPA Program Evaluation Process**

- Impetus for PE
- PE Goals
  - Determine CCMP implementation progress
  - Determine eligibility for S.320 funding
- Logic model framework
- Evaluates major elements of CCMP implementation:
  - Program management practices
  - Ecosystem status and trends work plan core elements
- Self-reporting
- ▶ EPA PE Team review

#### PE Review Team

- ▶ EPA Headquarters Lead
- ▶ EPA NEP Coordinator Rhonda Evans
- NEP Director, ex officio Team Member Troy Rice, Indian River Lagoon National Estuary Program
- ▶ Team members bring:
  - NEP-specific knowledge, Region-specific ecosystem and policy issues
  - Experience, technical knowledge, insight of another NEP Director
  - Knowledge of EPA national policy issues, perspective about NEP-wide CCMP implementation

### **PE Benefits**

- The final PE Findings letter reflects agreement reached by PE team members about progress made by the NEP in CCMP implementation, and provides a pass/fail rating to the NEP.
- Once an NEP receives a PE Findings letter, the EPA Region can translate the challenges and areas needing improvement identified in that letter into tasks listed in the work plans contained in annual assistance agreements.

#### **Uses of the Program Evaluation:**

- I recognize that the Program Evaluation process requires a lot of work by the NEPs. So what are the uses of the Program Evaluation that justify all this effort?
- The PEs highlight the environmental results of NEP efforts. These results help to demonstrate how NEP actions contribute to improvements in water quality and the condition of living resources.
- The PEs fosters an in-depth dialogue between EPA and the NEP about progress made and about challenges that need to be addressed.
- The PEs provide an opportunity for stakeholders and partners to show EPA their on-the-ground projects, which support CCMP implementation.
- Information from PE reviews about lessons learned are transferred to other NEPs and other watershed programs, and inform EPA strategies for advancing Clean Water Act goals.
- It is possible that during a PE, an issue is identified that indicates that an NEP is not meeting the terms of its cooperative agreement and could thereby serve as a basis for withholding annual funding from a Program